UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,907	08/12/2004	Andre Yu	13418-US-PA	4906
JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE 7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100 ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2 TAIPEI, 100 TAIWAN			EXAMINER	
			BECK, ALEXANDER S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 02/09/200		02/09/2007	· PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/710,907	YU ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alexander S. Beck	2629			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6) In no event, however, may a reply be tire ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed  the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Au	<u>igust 2004</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,7-12 and 14-17 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 2-6 and 13 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
10) 🖾	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 12 August 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	a) accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•	•				
Attachmen		4)	· (DTO 442)			
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1,7,10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Abe et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,705,879, hereinafter ABE).

As to independent Claim 1, ABE teaches/suggests a liquid crystal display lighting control system in Figure 9, comprising: a lamp (20); a self-oscillation inverter (16,18), coupled to a power source (12) and the lamp (20), for converting electrical energy from the power source (12) to the lamp, the self-oscillation inverter (16,18) operating with a self-oscillation frequency; a sampling-frequency generating circuit (16,18), coupled to the self-oscillation inverter (16,18), for sampling and measuring the self-oscillation frequency for outputting a synchronization frequency; a detecting-feedback circuit (22,26), coupled to the lamp (20), for detecting a current flowing through the lamp (20) and perform feedback operation and outputting a feedback signal; and a modulator (26,28), coupled to the detecting-feedback circuit (22,26), the sampling-frequency generating circuit (16,18) and the self-oscillation circuit (16,18),

Application/Control Number: 10/710,907

Art Unit: 2629

for receiving and measuring the feedback signal and the synchronization frequency for outputting a controlling synchronized with the self-oscillation frequency (ABE: col. 10, ln. 55 – col. 11, ln. 26).

As to Claim 7, ABE teaches/suggests wherein the detecting-feedback circuit (22,26) comprises: a detecting circuit (22), coupled to the lamp (20), for detecting the current flowing through the lamp (20) and outputting a detecting signal; and a feedback compensation circuit (26), coupled to the detecting circuit (22) and the modulator (26,28), for measuring the detecting signal for outputting the feedback signal (ABE: col. 6, ln. 21-33; col. 10, ln. 55 – col. 11, ln. 26).

As to Claim 10, ABE teaches/suggests wherein the self-oscillation inverter (16,18) is a DC/AC inverter (e.g. elements 16 and 18 convert a DC power supply voltage, as is found in portable electronics devices such as a notebook personal computer, into an AC voltage signal to be received by discharge tube 20 for illumination of the portable electronics device).

As to Claim 11, ABE teaches/suggests wherein the synchronization frequency is single, double, triple, or multiple of the self-oscillation frequency (ABE: col. 10, ln. 55 – col. 11, ln. 26).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly

Application/Control Number: 10/710,907

Art Unit: 2629

owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 8,9,12 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abe et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,705,879) in view of Lin et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7,057,611 B2, hereinafter LIN).

As to independent Claim 12, most of the claim limitations have already been discussed and met by ABE, as detailed in the above paragraphs with respect to independent Claim 1, with the exception of: a buck circuit, coupled to the modulator, the self-oscillation inverter and the power source.

ABE does not disclose expressly a buck circuit coupled to the modulator, the self-oscillation inverter and the power source.

LIN, analogous in art with ABE, teaches/suggests a power supply for an liquid crystal display panel comprising a DC/DC buck converter circuit (LIN: col. 2, ln. 26 – col. 3, ln. 24).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of ABE such that the liquid crystal display lighting control system comprised a DC/DC buck circuit, as taught/suggested by LIN, by connecting a DC power source to the self-oscillation inverter via the DC/DC buck circuit. As such, the buck circuit would be coupled to the modulator, the self-oscillation inverter and the power source.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been because, as is well known in the art, DC/DC buck converters are an extremely efficient power supply that are self-regulating, making it ideal for portable electronics devices.

As to Claims 8,9 and 15, all of the claim limitations have already been discussed and met by ABE and LIN, as detailed in the above paragraphs with respect to independent Claim 12.

As to Claims 14,16 and 17, all of the claim limitations have already been discussed and met by ABE, as detailed in the above paragraphs with respect to Claims 7,10 and 11.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 2-6 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

As to Claim 2, none of the prior art made of record teaches or suggests wherein the sampling-frequency generating circuit samples at a preset sampling location in the self-oscillation circuit.

As to Claims 6 and 13, none of the prior art made of record teaches or suggests wherein the sampling-frequency generating circuit comprises: a sampling circuit, coupled to the self-oscillation circuit, for sampling the self-oscillation frequency; and a frequency-generating circuit, coupled to the sampling circuit and the modulator, for outputting the synchronization frequency after measuring the self-oscillation frequency.

### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kumasaka et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,118,221) discloses a cold-cathode tube lighting circuit with protection circuit for piezoelectric transformer.

Application/Control Number: 10/710,907

Art Unit: 2629

Vazquez Carazo et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,617,757 B2) discloses an electro-luminescent backlighting circuit with multilayer piezoelectric transformer.

Takaoka et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,822,633 B2) discloses a liquid crystal display lighting system.

Fukumoto (U.S. Patent No. 6,982,886 B2) discloses a DC-AC converter parallel operation system and controller.

Min et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2004/0056825 A1) discloses an inverter for liquid crystal display.

Takahashi et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2004/0135523 A1) discloses an electrodeless discharge lamp lighting device, light bulb type electrodeless fluorescent lamp and discharge lamp lighting device.

Lee et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2004/0145584 A1) discloses an apparatus for supplying power and liquid crystal display having the same.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander S. Beck whose telephone number is (571) 272-7765. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-3638. The fax phone number for the organization where
this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application
Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained
from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available
through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic
Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer
Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR
CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

asb 1/31/07

Sumati hoflower

. A. A. IV AN ENT EXAMINER